## WHY DIDN'T OUR FIRST-TIME STUDENTS PERSIST?

## Executive summary

This examination was provided as a follow-up study using data previously prepared for the Market Assessment and Enrollment Management Analysis Project and investigated the Fall 2006 first-time students' first term experience in relation to their persistence to the next Fall. A total of 5,155 first-time students from Fall 2006 (excluding high school students who were concurrently enrolled) were used as the cohort in this study. Focus was also geared toward traditionally-aged students (e.g., those aged 19 or younger) to get a closer look at this largest and most recruited group of our first-time students in terms of their persistence rates and related academic qualities.

## Results of this investigation

Based on the data collected from our Fall 2006 first-time cohort, students who were more likely to persist to the next Fall exhibited distinct academic-related behaviors in comparison to those who did not persist. For example, among all first-time students who persisted, it was found that persisting students were more likely to:

- Be enrolled full-time
- Have earned a GPA of 2.0 or above
- Have taken orientation on campus
- Have a clear education goal of associate degree or transfer
- Have participated in learning community
- Have tested and placed in college level courses
- Have taken a mixed level of coursework, if placed at least in one pre-collegiate level.

Similar findings were revealed for persisting vs. non-persisting students aged 19 or under in terms of their first-term academic behaviors. Such results suggest that there are some key indicators from this investigation: first time students who had clear educational goals, took orientation on-campus, were enrolled full-time, and performed well academically were mostly likely to persist. Helping our first-time students come to college prepared and with a goal, keeping them engaged, and having a successful start requires great efforts from the College to strategize and plan collaboratively through a proactive process of using good communication, a dynamic support and tracking system, as well as motivating rapport-building student activities.
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## Why didn't our first time students persist? <br> A Follow-up Study Based on Clarus Project Data

Background: Beginning in August 2007, the Research Office worked closely with the Clarus Consultant Company for many months in support of its contracted project on Market Assessment and Enrollment Management analysis for Mt. SAC. A tremendous amount of college data was extracted and prepared by the Research Office to fulfill all the data requests for this project. As recommended by Dr. Audrey Yamagata-Noji, this study was conducted to further explore the data sets. While the marketing project aimed at evaluating and assessing missed opportunities for access, this study looked within our first time students' college experience in relation to persistence.

In this study, the cohort group consisted of all students who enrolled for the first time in Fall 2006, excluding those who were concurrently enrolled in high school. If students from this group did not continue to enroll in Fall 2007, they were deemed as "Non-Returning" Students. Those who returned in Fall 2007 were named as "Returning" students throughout this report.

The purpose of this study is to:

- Establish a profile of the Non-Returning students by comparing their characteristics and first-term college experience to that of Returning students.
- Target the largest age group of the Fall 2006 first time students, aged 19 or under, and explore the possible factors that impacted the persistence of this age group.


## Who Did Not Persist?

Close to half $(49 \%, n=2,525)$ of all Fall 2006 first-time students ( $n=5,155$ ) did not return in Fall 2007. Demographic information of the Non-Returning first time students showed that they were more likely from non-Asian or non-Filipino ethnic groups, and of older age groups than Returning students. About half or over half of students within each ethnic group (except Asian and Filipino) did not persist to the next Fall. There were no major differences found with respect to gender. Students in older age groups did not persist as well as the younger age groups. Students aged 19 and under, (which was the largest age group of the cohort), had an over $60 \%$ persistence rate which was much higher than the other age groups.

| First Time Fall 2006 <br> Students | Not Returned <br> in Fall 2007 |  | Returned <br> in Fall 2007 |  | Total |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Ethnicity | Count | Percent | Count | Percent | Count | Within Group <br> Percent | Overall <br> Percent |
| African American/Non- <br> Hispanic | 167 | $55.3 \%$ | 135 | $44.7 \%$ | 302 | $100.0 \%$ | $5.9 \%$ |
| American Indian/Alaskan <br> Native | 15 | $50.0 \%$ | 15 | $50.0 \%$ | 30 | $100.0 \%$ | $0.6 \%$ |
| Asian | 361 | $40.8 \%$ | 524 | $59.2 \%$ | 885 | $100.0 \%$ | $17.2 \%$ |
| Declined to State | 76 | $55.9 \%$ | 60 | $44.1 \%$ | 136 | $100.0 \%$ | $2.6 \%$ |
| Filipino | 122 | $39.9 \%$ | 184 | $60.1 \%$ | 306 | $100.0 \%$ | $5.9 \%$ |
| Hispanic | 1113 | $50.3 \%$ | 1099 | $49.7 \%$ | 2212 | $100.0 \%$ | $42.9 \%$ |
| Other Non-White | 66 | $50.0 \%$ | 66 | $50.0 \%$ | 132 | $100.0 \%$ | $2.6 \%$ |


| First Time Fall 2006 <br> Students | Not Returned <br> in Fall 2007 |  | Returned <br> in Fall 2007 |  |  | Total |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |


| First Time Fall 2006 <br> Students | Not Returned <br> in Fall 2007 |  | Returned <br> in Fall 2007 |  |  | Total |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Gender | Count | Percent | Count | Percent | Count | Within Group <br> Percent | Overall <br> Percent |
| Female | 1248 | $48.4 \%$ | 1332 | $51.6 \%$ | 2580 | $100.0 \%$ | $50.0 \%$ |
| Male | 1244 | $49.1 \%$ | 1288 | $50.9 \%$ | 2532 | $100.0 \%$ | $49.1 \%$ |
| Decline to state | 20 | $46.5 \%$ | 23 | $53.5 \%$ | 43 | $100.0 \%$ | $0.8 \%$ |
| Grand Total | 2512 | $48.7 \%$ | 2643 | $51.3 \%$ | 5155 | $100.0 \%$ | $100.0 \%$ |


| First Time Fall 2006 <br> Students | Not Returned <br> in Fall 2007 |  | Returned <br> in Fall 2007 |  |  | Total |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |

Interestingly, these 2,512 Non-Returning students demonstrated some academic behaviors in their first-enrolled term that set them apart from the Returning students. Of this cohort of students, those who attended full-time in Fall 2006, were more likely to persist (65.7\%) one year later than those attended part-time (42.7\%).

| First Time Fall 2006 <br> Students | Not Returned <br> in Fall 2007 |  | Returned <br> in Fall 2007 |  |  | Total |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | :---: |

Students who completed orientation on-campus were more likely to persist one year later. The advantage of on-campus orientation over online orientation in persistence rates continues when
holding Term GPA constant in the comparison. For example, students who had on-campus orientation and with good GPA standing (GPA>=2.0) in Fall 2006 have higher persistence rates than those who had online orientation and with good GPA standing ( $73.4 \%$ vs. $59.8 \%$ ).

| First Time Fall 2006 Students | Not Returned in Fall 2007 |  | Returned in Fall 2007 |  | Total |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Orientation Type | Count | Percent | Count | Percent | Count | Within Group Percent | Overall Percent |
| On-Campus | 667 | 37.6\% | 1105 | 62.4\% | 1772 | 100.0\% | 34.4\% |
| Exempt | 145 | 67.8\% | 69 | 32.2\% | 214 | 100.0\% | 4.2\% |
| Online | 1416 | 50.8\% | 1372 | 49.2\% | 2788 | 100.0\% | 54.1\% |
| No Record | 284 | 74.5\% | 97 | 25.5\% | 381 | 100.0\% | 7.4\% |
| Grand Total | 2512 | 48.7\% | 2643 | 51.3\% | 5155 | 100.0\% | 100.0\% |


| First Time Fall 2006Students |  | Not Returned in Fall 2007 |  | Returnedin Fall 2007 |  | Total |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Orientation Type | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Fall F06 } \\ & \text { GPA } \end{aligned}$ | Count | Percent | Count | Percent | Count | Within Group Percent | Overall <br> Percent |
| On-Campus | <2.0 | 302 | 59.6\% | 205 | 40.4\% | 507 | 100.0\% | 10.6\% |
|  | >=2.0 | 315 | 26.6\% | 867 | 73.4\% | 1182 | 100.0\% | 24.8\% |
|  | CRNC | 50 | 60.2\% | 33 | 39.8\% | 83 | 100.0\% | 1.7\% |
| On-Campus Total |  | 667 | 37.6\% | 1105 | 62.4\% | 1772 | 100.0\% | 37.1\% |
| Exempt | <2.0 | 36 | 80.0\% | 9 | 20.0\% | 45 | 100.0\% | 0.9\% |
|  | >=2.0 | 101 | 63.9\% | 57 | 36.1\% | 158 | 100.0\% | 3.3\% |
|  | CRNC | 8 | 72.7\% | 3 | 27.3\% | 11 | 100.0\% | 0.2\% |
| Exempt Total |  | 145 | 67.8\% | 69 | 32.2\% | 214 | 100.0\% | 4.5\% |
| Online | <2.0 | 644 | 69.2\% | 287 | 30.8\% | 931 | 100.0\% | 19.5\% |
|  | >=2.0 | 698 | 40.2\% | 1038 | 59.8\% | 1736 | 100.0\% | 36.4\% |
|  | CRNC | 74 | 61.2\% | 47 | 38.8\% | 121 | 100.0\% | 2.5\% |
| Online Total |  | 1416 | 50.8\% | 1372 | 49.2\% | 2788 | 100.0\% | 58.4\% |
| Grand Total |  | 2228 | 46.7\% | 2546 | 53.3\% | 4774 | 100.0\% | 100.0\% |

Students who were placed by assessment tests at the college level were more likely to persist into Fall 2007. Those who did not test at Mt. SAC were most likely not to persist one year later.

| First Time Fall 2006 <br> Students | Not Returned <br> in Fall 2007 |  | Returned <br> in Fall 2007 |  | Total |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Assessment Test <br> Placement | Count |  | Percent | Count | Percent | Count | Within Group <br> Percent |
| At Least one Basic Skills | 1175 | $42.4 \%$ | 1595 | $57.6 \%$ | 2770 | $100.0 \%$ | $53.7 \%$ |
| Perall |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| All College Level | 282 | $34.3 \%$ | 539 | $65.7 \%$ | 821 | $100.0 \%$ | $15.9 \%$ |
| Not Tested (No Test Record) | 1055 | $67.5 \%$ | 509 | $32.5 \%$ | 1564 | $100.0 \%$ | $30.3 \%$ |
| Grand Total | 2512 | $48.7 \%$ | 2643 | $51.3 \%$ | 5155 | $100.0 \%$ | $100.0 \%$ |

Students who had clear educational goals of earning an associate degree or transferring to a four year institution when enrolled were more likely to persist than those who did not.

| First Time Fall 2006 Students | Not Returned in Fall 2007 |  | Returned <br> in Fall 2007 |  | Total |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| First Enrolled Education Goal | Count | Percent | Count | Percent | Count | Within Group Percent | Overall Percent |
| Obtain a Two Year Associate's Degree without Transfer | 223 | 48.8\% | 234 | 51.2\% | 457 | 100.0\% | 8.9\% |
| Obtain a Two Year Vocational Degree without Transfer | 135 | 49.5\% | 138 | 50.5\% | 273 | 100.0\% | 5.3\% |
| Transfer to a 4-Year Institution without an Associate Degree | 501 | 41.0\% | 720 | 59.0\% | 1221 | 100.0\% | 23.7\% |
| Obtain an Associate Degree \& Transfer to a 4-Yr Institution | 629 | 42.9\% | 838 | 57.1\% | 1467 | 100.0\% | 28.5\% |
| Earn a Vocational Certificate without Transfer | 92 | 60.5\% | 60 | 39.5\% | 152 | 100.0\% | 2.9\% |
| Improve Basic Skills in English, Reading, or Math | 48 | 67.6\% | 23 | 32.4\% | 71 | 100.0\% | 1.4\% |
| Complete Credits for High School Diploma or GED | 32 | 64.0\% | 18 | 36.0\% | 50 | 100.0\% | 1.0\% |
| Advance in Current Job/Career (Update Job Skills) | 127 | 75.6\% | 41 | 24.4\% | 168 | 100.0\% | 3.3\% |
| Prepare for a New Career (Acquire Job Skills) | 109 | 56.5\% | 84 | 43.5\% | 193 | 100.0\% | 3.7\% |
| Discover/Formulate Career Interests, Plans, Goals | 66 | 62.3\% | 40 | 37.7\% | 106 | 100.0\% | 2.1\% |
| Maintain Certificate or License (e.g. Nursing, Real Estate | 31 | 60.8\% | 20 | 39.2\% | 51 | 100.0\% | 1.0\% |
| Personal Development/Interest | 193 | 56.3\% | 150 | 43.7\% | 343 | 100.0\% | 6.7\% |
| Develop Social Skills | 30 | 68.2\% | 14 | 31.8\% | 44 | 100.0\% | 0.9\% |
| Undecided on Goal | 296 | 53.0\% | 263 | 47.0\% | 559 | 100.0\% | 10.8\% |
| Grand Total | 2512 | 48.7\% | 2643 | 51.3\% | 5155 | 100.0\% | 100.0\% |

Students who received financial support in their first term tended to persist slightly better than those who did not.

| First Time Fall 2006 <br> Students | Not Returned <br> in Fall 2007 |  | Returned <br> in Fall 2007 |  |  | Total |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | :---: |

Surprisingly, students who exclusively took same-level course(s) did not persist as well as those who took courses of mixed levels. For example, students who took only pre-collegiate courses or only college level courses in Fall 2006 were less likely to persist one year later. Further, by
cross-examining these students' placement by the course level they took in Fall 2006, it was found that this phenomenon occurred mostly to students who were placed in pre-collegiate level. In other words, cohort students who were placed in pre-collegiate level and took either entirely pre-collegiate level courses or college level courses only tended to be less likely to persist to the next fall.

| First Time Fall 2006 <br> Students | Not Returned <br> in Fall 2007 |  | Returned <br> in Fall 2007 |  |  | Total |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |


| First Time Fall 2006 Students |  | Not Returned in Fall 2007 |  | $\begin{gathered} \text { Returned } \\ \text { in Fall } 2007 \end{gathered}$ |  | Total |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Placed Course Level | Fall 06 Course Level taken* | Count | Percent | Count | Percent | Count | Within Group Percent | Overall Percent |
| Not Tested | BS ONLY | 10 | 71.4\% | 4 | 28.6\% | 14 | 100.0\% | 0.3\% |
|  | CL ONLY | 1030 | 67.6\% | 494 | 32.4\% | 1524 | 100.0\% | 29.6\% |
|  | HBS | 5 | 55.6\% | 4 | 44.4\% | 9 | 100.0\% | 0.2\% |
|  | LBS | 8 | 57.1\% | 6 | 42.9\% | 14 | 100.0\% | 0.3\% |
|  | SAME | 2 | 66.7\% | 1 | 33.3\% | 3 | 100.0\% | 0.1\% |
| Not Tested Total |  | 1055 | 67.5\% | 509 | 32.5\% | 1564 | 100.0\% | 30.3\% |
| At least one Basic Skills Level | BS ONLY | 186 | 53.6\% | 161 | 46.4\% | 347 | 100.0\% | 6.7\% |
|  | CL ONLY | 408 | 50.9\% | 394 | 49.1\% | 802 | 100.0\% | 15.6\% |
|  | HBS | 134 | 38.8\% | 211 | 61.2\% | 345 | 100.0\% | 6.7\% |
|  | LBS | 346 | 33.9\% | 676 | 66.1\% | 1022 | 100.0\% | 19.8\% |
|  | SAME | 101 | 39.8\% | 153 | 60.2\% | 254 | 100.0\% | 4.9\% |
| Basic Skills Level Total |  | 1175 | 42.4\% | 1595 | 57.6\% | 2770 | 100.0\% | 53.7\% |
| College Level only | CL ONLY | 279 | 34.7\% | 526 | 65.3\% | 805 | 100.0\% | 15.6\% |
|  | LBS | 3 | 21.4\% | 11 | 78.6\% | 14 | 100.0\% | 0.3\% |
|  | SAME |  | 0.0\% | 2 | 100.0\% | 2 | 100.0\% | 0.0\% |
| College Level Total |  | 282 | 34.3\% | 539 | 65.7\% | 821 | 100.0\% | 15.9\% |
| Grand Total |  | 2512 | 48.7\% | 2643 | 51.3\% | 5155 | 100.0\% | 100.0\% |

*BS-Basic Skills, CL-College Level, HBS-both but more BS, LBS-both but less BS, SAME- both but equally.

Students who had satisfactory progress academically (e.g., had a GPA of 2.0 or better) in Fall 2006 were more likely to persist one year later than those who did not illustrate such academic progress.

| First Time Fall 2006 <br> Students | Not Returned <br> in Fall 2007 |  | Returned <br> in Fall 2007 |  |  | Total |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |

Although very low in number overall ( $\mathrm{n}=61$ ), students who participated in Learning Communities (Math Bridge, English Bridge, and Pre-Nursing) in their first semester tended to persist more frequently $(\mathrm{n}=40)$ than those who did not $(\mathrm{n}=21)$.

| First Time Fall 2006 <br> Students | Not Returned <br> in Fall 2007 |  | Returned <br> in Fall 2007 |  |  | Total |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |

Students who did not take any online courses tended to persist slightly more often than those who took online courses.

| First Time Fall 2006 <br> Students | Not Returned <br> in Fall 2007 |  | Returned <br> in Fall 2007 |  |  | Total |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |

## TARGET GROUP STUDY - Focusing on Students Aged 19 and Younger

Out of the Fall 2006 first time cohort of students, $58 \%$ of them were aged 19 or younger at the time of enrollment. These students represent traditionally-aged college students who are fresh out of high school and need extra assistance and guidance to make the transition of adapting to college life easier. This age group is of particular interest to us because it comprises the largest proportion of our first-time degree-seeking students which is the priority marketing recruit target for the college. Although $61.7 \%$ of this age group persisted in Fall 2007, some 1,142 students failed to. How to help this particular group of students with persisting has become an ultimate task for the college. The following section examines the academic experience of this age group in their first term at Mt. SAC, with the purpose of gaining some insights into why students did not persist and to find indicators for planning appropriate intervention strategies to increase student persistence.

For students aged 19 or younger, course load played a factor in whether students persisted to the next Fall. Seventy percent of those who attended with full-time course work in their first term persisted one year later.

| First Time Fall 2006 <br>  <br> younger | Not Returned <br> in Fall 2007 |  | Returned <br> in Fall 2007 |  | Total |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |

GPA standing was an important factor as well. Those who progressed well academically in Fall 2006 were much more likely to persist. Interestingly, students who took courses with a credit or no credit grading option only did not have as high of persistence rates.

| First Time Fall 2006 Students <br> of Age 19 \& younger | Not Returned <br> in Fall 2007 |  | Returned <br> in Fall 2007 |  | Total |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |

Effective orientation is particularly important for students of this age group, who need extensive guidance and support. The data showed that students who engaged in orientation on campus tended to persist better than those who did their orientation online or were exempt from orientation. Notably, students of this age group who completed orientation online also had higher persistence rates ( $56.5 \%$ ) than that of the overall first-time cohort who did online orientation (49.2 \%).

| First Time Fall 2006 Students <br> of Age 19 \& younger | Not Returned <br> in Fall 2007 |  | Returned <br> in Fall 2007 |  | Total |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |

Students in this age group who had a clear educational goal to earn an associate degree or to transfer to a four-year institution upon their entrance were just slightly more likely to persist one year later.

| First Time Fall 2006 Students of Age 19 \& younger | Not Returned in Fall 2007 |  | Returned in Fall 2007 |  | Total |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| First Enrolled Education Goal | Count | Percent | Count | Percent | Count | Within Group Percent | Overall Percent |
| Obtain a Two Year Associate's Degree without Transfer | 104 | 39.1\% | 162 | 60.9\% | 266 | 100.0\% | 8.9\% |
| Obtain a Two Year Vocational Degree without Transfer | 56 | 40.0\% | 84 | 60.0\% | 140 | 100.0\% | 4.7\% |
| Transfer to a 4-Year Institution without an Associate Degree | 293 | 34.5\% | 557 | 65.5\% | 850 | 100.0\% | 28.5\% |
| Obtain an Associate Degree \& Transfer to a 4-Yr Institution | 375 | 37.5\% | 624 | 62.5\% | 999 | 100.0\% | 33.5\% |
| Earn a Vocational Certificate without Transfer | 21 | 44.7\% | 26 | 55.3\% | 47 | 100.0\% | 1.6\% |
| Improve Basic Skills in English, Reading, or Math | 9 | 45.0\% | 11 | 55.0\% | 20 | 100.0\% | 0.7\% |
| Complete Credits for High School Diploma or GED | 9 | 42.9\% | 12 | 57.1\% | 21 | 100.0\% | 0.7\% |
| Advance in Current Job/Career (Update Job Skills) | 8 | 53.3\% | 7 | 46.7\% | 15 | 100.0\% | 0.5\% |
| Prepare for a New Career (Acquire Job Skills) | 20 | 41.7\% | 28 | 58.3\% | 48 | 100.0\% | 1.6\% |
| Discover/Formulate Career Interests, Plans, Goals | 18 | 46.2\% | 21 | 53.8\% | 39 | 100.0\% | 1.3\% |
| Maintain Certificate or License (e.g. Nursing, Real Estate | 4 | 44.4\% | 5 | 55.6\% | 9 | 100.0\% | 0.3\% |
| Personal Development/Interest | 74 | 39.8\% | 112 | 60.2\% | 186 | 100.0\% | 6.2\% |
| Develop Social Skills | 8 | 42.1\% | 11 | 57.9\% | 19 | 100.0\% | 0.6\% |
| Undecided on Goal | 143 | 44.3\% | 180 | 55.7\% | 323 | 100.0\% | 10.8\% |
| Grand Total | 1142 | 38.3\% | 1840 | 61.7\% | 2982 | 100.0\% | 100.0\% |

Two-thirds of first-time students in this age group received at least one pre-collegiate placement from assessment tests. Students who were tested and placed into only college level courses were more likely to persist one year later.

| First Time Fall 2006 Students <br> of Age 19 \& younger | Not Returned <br> in Fall 2007 |  | Returned <br> in Fall 2007 |  | Total |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |

As long as the students were making the grade, they were more likely to persist, regardless of the course level they were placed into. For example, students who placed into basic skills level courses with satisfactory GPA in Fall 2006 had comparably high persistence rates to students who placed in college level courses with a good GPA standing ( $75.9 \%$ vs. $78.1 \%$ ).

| First Time Fall 2006 Students of Age 19 \& younger |  | Not Returned in Fall 2007 |  | Returned in Fall 2007 |  | Total |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Assessment Test Placement | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Fall } 2006 \\ & \text { GPA } \\ & \text { Standing } \end{aligned}$ | Count | Percent | Count | Percent | Count | Within <br> Group <br> Percent | Overall Percent |
| No Test Record | <2.0 | 67 | 73.3\% | 26 | 26.7\% | 93 | 100.0\% | 3.3\% |
|  | >=2.0 | 84 | 49.4\% | 86 | 50.6\% | 170 | 100.0\% | 5.9\% |
| No Test Record Total |  | 151 | 57.4\% | 112 | 42.6\% | 263 | 100.0\% | 9.2\% |
| At Least one Basic Skills | <2.0 | 434 | 59.9\% | 299 | 40.1\% | 733 | 100.0\% | 25.6\% |
|  | >=2.0 | 284 | 24.1\% | 892 | 75.9\% | 1176 | 100.0\% | 41.1\% |
| At Least one Basic Skills Total |  | 718 | 37.7\% | 1191 | 62.3\% | 1909 | 100.0\% | 66.7\% |
| At College Level only | <2.0 | 100 | 53.6\% | 86 | 46.4\% | 186 | 100.0\% | 6.5\% |
|  | $>=2.0$ | 110 | 21.9\% | 392 | 78.1\% | 502 | 100.0\% | 17.6\% |
| At College Level only Total |  | 210 | 30.4\% | 487 | 69.6\% | 688 | 100.0\% | 24.1\% |
|  | Grand Total | 1079 | 37.7\% | 1781 | 62.3\% | 2860 | 100.0\% | 100.0\% |

Students with "credit/noncredit' courses only were not included in this table.

Students who took a course load combination of pre-collegiate and college levels in their first term tended to persist better than those who took a single level course load.

| First Time Fall 2006 Students <br> of Age 19 \& younger | Not Returned <br> in Fall 2007 |  | Returned <br> in Fall 2007 |  | Total |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |

Among this age group of students, no difference was found in persistence rates by comparing whether the courses they enrolled were online or not.

| First Time Fall 2006 Students <br> of Age 19 \& younger | Not Returned <br> in Fall 2007 |  | Returned <br> in Fall 2007 |  | Total |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |

There were no major discrepancies found when comparing in persistence rates based upon whether a student had received financial aid or not.

| First Time Fall 2006 Students <br> of Age 19 \& younger | Not Returned <br> in Fall 2007 |  | Returned <br> in Fall 2007 |  | Total |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |


| First Time Fall 2006 Students of Age 19 \& younger |  | Not Returned in Fall 2007 |  | Returned in Fall 2007 |  | Total |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Financial Aid | Fall 2006 Course Load | Count | Percent | Count | Percent | Count | Within Group Percent | Overall Percent |
| Received | Full Time | 174 | 30.5\% | 397 | 69.5\% | 571 | 100.0\% | 19.1\% |
|  | Part Time | 263 | 49.0\% | 274 | 51.0\% | 537 | 100.0\% | 18.0\% |
|  | Received Total | 437 | 39.4\% | 671 | 60.6\% | 1108 | 100.0\% | 37.2\% |
| Not Received | Full Time | 249 | 28.5\% | 625 | 71.5\% | 874 | 100.0\% | 29.3\% |
|  | Part Time | 456 | 45.6\% | 544 | 54.4\% | 1000 | 100.0\% | 33.5\% |
| Not Received Total |  | 705 | 37.6\% | 1169 | 62.4\% | 1874 | 100.0\% | 62.8\% |
| Grand Total |  | 1142 | 38.3\% | 1840 | 61.7\% | 2982 | 100.0\% | 100.0\% |

Similar to the overall cohort examined, students in this age group who had participated in Learning Communities persisted slightly better than those who did not.

| First Time Fall 2006 Students of Age 19 \& younger | Not Returned in Fall 2007 |  | Returned in Fall 2007 |  | Total |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Participated in Learning Communities (Math Bridge, English Bridge, Pre-Nursing) in Fall 2006 | Count | Percent | Count | Percent | Count | Within <br> Group <br> Percent | Overall <br> Percent |
| Participated | 20 | 34.5\% | 38 | 65.5\% | 58 | 100.0\% | 1.9\% |
| Not Participated | 1122 | 38.4\% | 1802 | 61.6\% | 2924 | 100.0\% | 98.1\% |
| Grand Total | 1142 | 38.3\% | 1840 | 61.7\% | 2982 | 100.0\% | 100.0\% |

When comparing those who participated in Learning Communities to those with similar academic preparation levels (e.g., Basic Skills level placement), it appears that there are higher persistence rates from students in Learning Communities of this age group.

| First Time Fall 2006 Students <br> of Age 19 \& younger | Not Returned <br> in Fall 2007 |  | Returned in Fall <br> $\mathbf{2 0 0 7}$ |  | Total |  |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Learning <br> Communities | Placed Course <br> Level | Count | Percent | Count | Percent | Count | Within Group <br> Percent | Overall <br> Percent |
| Bridge | BS Level | 18 | $32.7 \%$ | 37 | $67.3 \%$ | 55 | $100.0 \%$ | $1.8 \%$ |
| Non-Bridge | BS Level | 759 | $38.6 \%$ | 1207 | $61.4 \%$ | 1966 | $100.0 \%$ | $65.9 \%$ |
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The data below indicates that when under-prepared students are guided and assisted in their first term and performed well academically, they were very highly likely to persist a year later.

| First Time Fall 2006 Students of Age 19 \& younger |  |  | Not Returned in Fall 2007 |  | Returned in Fall 2007 |  | Total |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Fall 06 Learning Communities | Placed Course Level | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Fall } 06 \\ & \text { GPA } \end{aligned}$ | Count | Percent | Count | Percent | Count | Within Group Percent | Overall Percent |
| Bridge | BS Level | <2.0 | xx | 70.6\% | xx | 29.4\% | 17 | 100.0\% | 0.6\% |
|  |  | $>=2.0$ | xx | 15.8\% | xx | 84.2\% | 38 | 100.0\% | 1.3\% |
| Non-Bridge | BS Level | <2.0 | 422 | 58.9\% | 294 | 41.1\% | 716 | 100.0\% | 25.0\% |
|  |  | $>=2.0$ | 278 | 24.4\% | 860 | 75.6\% | 1138 | 100.0\% | 39.8\% |

For confidentiality, cells with very small number were blocked to show the count.

## Conclusion

The examined data supports the assertion that helping students with a successful start in college will increase their likelihood of persistence. Based on the data collected from our Fall 2006 firsttime cohort, Returning students who persisted to the next Fall were, in their first enrolled term, more likely to:

- Be enrolled full-time
- Have earned a GPA of 2.0 or above
- Have taken an orientation on campus
- Have had clear education goal of associate degree or transfer
- Have participated in learning community
- Have tested and placed in college level courses
- Have taken mixed level of courses, if placed at least in one pre-collegiate level.

Students aged 19 or under had similar results from this same set of investigations on their first term academic experience in relation to their persistence. Therefore, there are some key indicators from the results of this investigation: first time students who had clear educational goals, took orientation on-campus, were enrolled full-time, and performed well academically were mostly likely to persist.

To encourage these positive academic behaviors in our first-time students, strategies need to be planned to connect students to essential college resources and support services and to instill knowledge, skills, and responsibility necessary to ensure their academic success. Many traditionally-aged students frequently come to college unprepared. The College needs to actively engage these students with long-term support to help them achieve successful learning outcomes.

